

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE INTEL CORP. MICROPROCESSOR LITIGATION	ANTITRUST))) MDL No. 05-1717-JJF)	
PHIL PAUL, on behal and all others similarly)) Civil Action No. 05-485-JJF	
	Plaintiffs,) CONSOLIDATED ACTION	
v.))	
INTEL CORPORATION	ON,))	
	Defendant.)))	
		•	

NOTICE OF SUBPOENA

TO: Counsel of Record (Per the Attached Service List)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on March 8, 2007, the attached subpoena was issued to VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC., 940 Mission Court, Fremont, CA 94539 commanding it to produce for inspection and copying on April 10, 2007 the documents identified in Schedule A appended thereto.

Dated: March 12, 2007

PRICKETT, JONES & ELLIOTT, P.A.

James L. Holzman (DE Bar #660) J! Clayton Athey (DE Bar #4378) Laina M. Herbert (DE Bar #4717) 1310 King Street, Box 1328 Wilmington, DE 19899 (302) 888-6500 jlholzman@prickett.com

jcathey@prickett.com lmherbert@prickett.com

Interim Liaison Counsel for Plaintiffs

Co-Lead and Interim Counsel for Plaintiffs:

Michael D. Hausfeld Daniel A. Small Brent W. Landau Allyson B. Baker COHEN, MILSTEIN, HAUSFELD & TOLL, P.L.L.C. 1100 New York Avenue, NW Suite 500, West Tower Washington, DC 20005 mhausfeld@cmht.com dsmall@cmht.com blandau@cmht.com abaker@cmht.com

Michael P. Lehmann Thomas P. Dove Alex C. Turan THE FURTH FIRM, LLP 225 Bush Street, 15th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 mplehmann@furth.com tdove@furth.com aturan@furth.com

Steve W. Berman Anthony Shapiro Craig R. Spiegel HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO, LLP 1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900 Seattle, WA 98101 steve@hbsslaw.com tony@hbsslaw.com craig@hbsslaw.com

Guido Saveri R. Alexander Saveri SAVERI & SAVERI, INC. 111 Pine Street, Suite 1700 San Francisco, CA 94111 guido@saveri.com rick@saveri.com

SAO88 (Rev. 12/06) Subpoena in a Civil Case		
Issued by the		
United States District Cou	JRT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF	CALIFORNIA	
In re Intel Corp. Microprocessor Antitrust Litig. V. SUBPOENA	N A CIVIL CASE	
Case Number	1 05-MD-1717 (D. Del.)	
TO: VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 940 Mission Court Fremont, CA 94539		
☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States District court at the platestify in the above case.	ce, date, and time specified below to	
PLACE OF TESTIMONY	COURTROOM	
	DATE AND TIME	
☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, date, and time specified below in the above case.	to testify at the taking of a deposition	
PLACE OF DEPOSITION	DATE AND TIME	
YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and permit inspection and copying of the final place, date, and time specified below (list documents or objects): See Schedule A.	following documents or objects at the	
PLACE Furth Lehmann & Grant LLP, 225 Bush Street, 15th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94104	DATE AND TIME 4/10/2007 5:00 pm	
☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the following premises at the	e date and time specified below.	
PREMISES	DATE AND TIME	
Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoenaed for the taking of a deposition s directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf, and may s matters on which the person will testify. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 30(b)(6).		
ISSUING OFFICER'S SIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATE IF ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF OR DEFENDA	NT) DATE 3/7/2007	
ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER		
Michael P. Lehmann, Furth Lehmann & Grant LLP, 225 Bush Street, 15th Floor, Sar 2070	ı Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 433-	
(See Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), on ne	it page)	

¹ If action is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number.

		PROOF OF S	ERVICE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	DATE	Pi	ACE	
SERVED .				·
SERVED ON (PRINT NAME)			MANNER OF SERVICE	
SERVED BY (PRINT NAME)			TITLE	
	.D	ECLARATION	OF SERVER	
I declare under penalty n the Proof of Service is t		aws of the United	States of America that the foreg	going information contained
•		•		
Executed on				
	DATE		SIGNATURE OF SERVER	

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), as amended on December 1, 2006:

(c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS.

(1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoens. The court on behalf of which the subpoens was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction, which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and a reasonable attorney's fee.

include, but is not limited to, lost carnings and a reasonable attorncy's fee.

(2) (A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of designated electronically stored information, books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.

(B) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling may, within 14 days after service of the subpoens or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve

upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to producing any or all of the designated materials or inspection of the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect, copy, test, or sample the materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoens was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpocua may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production, inspection, copying, testing, or sampling. Such an order to compel shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from

significant expense resulting from the inspection, copying, testing, or sampling commanded.

(3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpocua was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena if it

(i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance;

(ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (c)(3)(B)(iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to attend trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held;

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies; or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) if a subpoena
(i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or

(ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not

describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made

not at the request of any party, or

(iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject to or affected by the subpoeua, quash or modify the subpoeua or, if the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.

(d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPORNA.

(1) (A) A person responding to a subpoeus to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.

(B) If a subpoena does not specify the form or forms for producing electronically stored information, a person responding to a subpoena must produce the information in a form or forms in which the person ordinarily maintains it or in a form or forms that are reasonably

(C) A person responding to a subpoena need not produce the same electronically stored ation in more than one form.

(D) A person responding to a subpoena need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or to quash, the person from whom discovery is sought must show that the information sought is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) (A) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.

(B) If information is produced in response to a subpoena that is subject to a claim of

privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has and may not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim If the receiving party disclosed the information before being notified, it must take reasonable steps to retrieve it. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(e) CONTEMPT. Failure of any person without adequate excuse to obey a subpoena served upon that person may be deemed a contempt of the court from which the subpoena issued. An adequate cause for failure to obey exists when a subpoena purports to require a nonparty to attend or produce at a place not within the limits provided by clause (ii) of subparagraph (c)(3)(A).

Schedule A

VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Definitions

- 1. For purposes of this document request, "DOCUMENT" includes, without limitation, any hard copy writings and documents as well as electronically stored data-files including email, instant messaging, shared network files, and databases created, accessed, modified or dated on or after January 1, 2000.
- 2. With respect to electronically stored data, "DOCUMENT" also includes, without limitation, any data on magnetic or optical storage media (e.g., servers, storage area networks, hard drives, backup tapes, CDs, DVDs, thumb/flash drives, floppy disks, or any other type of portable storage device, etc.) stored as an "active" or backup file, in its native format.
- For purposes of this document request, "MICROPROCESSOR" means general 3. purpose microprocessors using the x86 instruction set (e.g., Sempron, Athlon, Turion, Opteron, Celeron, Pentium, Core, Core Duo, Xeon, Crusoe, Efficeon, and Eden).
- For purposes of this document request, "FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT" means any payment, subsidy, rebate, discount (on MICROPROCESSORS or on any other INTEL product), Intel Inside funds, E-CAP (exceptions to corporate approved pricing), Market Development Funds ("MDF"), "meeting competition" or "meet comp" payments, "depo" payments, program monies, or any advertising or pricing support.
- For purposes of this document request, "NON-FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT" means any allocation preference, access to nonpublic technical or roadmap information, personnel support (engineering/technical/training) or any other non-cash benefit, perquisite or other consideration (including but not limited to bundling or packaging other products).
- For purposes of this document request, "COMPANY" refers to VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and any of its controlled present or former subsidiaries, parents, and predecessor or successor companies.
- "INTEL" refers to Intel Corporation, Intel Kabushiki Kaisha, and any of their present or former subsidiaries, affiliates, parents, assigns, predecessor or successor companies and divisions thereof.
- "AMD" refers to Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., AMD International Sales and Service Ltd., and any of their present or former subsidiaries, affiliates, parents, assigns, predecessor or successor companies and divisions thereof.

Instructions

- 1. The time period, unless otherwise specified, covered by each request set forth below is from January 1, 2000 up to and including the present.
- 2. In responding to each request set forth below, please set forth each request in full before each response.
- 3. If any DOCUMENT covered by these requests is withheld by reason of a claim of privilege, please furnish a list at the time the DOCUMENTS are produced identifying any such DOCUMENT for which the privilege is claimed, together with the following information with respect to any such DOCUMENT withheld: author; recipient; sender; indicated or blind copies; date; general subject matter; basis upon which privilege is claimed and the paragraph of these requests to which such DOCUMENT relates. For each DOCUMENT withheld under a claim that it constitutes or contains attorney work product, also state whether your COMPANY asserts that the DOCUMENT was prepared in anticipation of litigation or for trial.
- 4. If your COMPANY objects to a request in part, please state specifically which part of the request your COMPANY objects to and produce all DOCUMENTS responsive to all other parts of the request.
- 5. With respect to any DOCUMENT maintained or stored electronically, please harvest it in a manner that maintains the integrity and readability of all data, including all metadata.
- 6. Please produce all DOCUMENTS maintained or stored electronically in native, electronic format with all relevant metadata intact and in an appropriate and useable manner (e.g., by copying such data onto a USB 2.0 external hard drive). Encrypted or password-protected DOCUMENTS should be produced in a form permitting them to be reviewed.
- 7. In connection with your production of DOCUMENTS, please produce any relevant data dictionaries, data translations, lookup tables, and/or any other documentation designed to facilitate use of the data contained within the DOCUMENTS produced.
- 8. Please organize electronic DOCUMENTS produced for inspection in the same manner that the COMPANY stores them (e.g., if maintained by a custodian, such as email residing on an email server, please organize DOCUMENTS for production by custodian; if maintained in a subfolder of "My Documents" on a custodian's hard drive, please organize DOCUMENTS for production by custodian with path information preserved, etc.).
- 9. To the extent responsive DOCUMENTS reside on databases and other such systems and files, your COMPANY shall either produce the relevant database in useable form and/or shall permit access for inspection, review, and extraction of responsive information.
- 10. At your COMPANY's election, DOCUMENTS maintained or stored in paper, hard-copy form can be produced as searchable .PDF (i.e., portable document format files with embedded text) and in an appropriate and useable manner (e.g., by copying such data onto a USB 2.0 external hard drive).

Document Requests

- All DOCUMENTS constituting or concerning your COMPANY's business plans, strategic plans, long-range plans or budgets.
- DOCUMENTS sufficient to determine the annual volume of sales for each of your COMPANY'S MICROPROCESSOR products.
- DOCUMENTS sufficient to determine your COMPANY's costs to design, manufacture, sell, distribute, promote, and market (including but not limited to market development activities) your COMPANY's MICROPROCESSORS.
- DOCUMENTS sufficient to determine your COMPANY'S margins on sales of its MICROPROCESSORS.
- DOCUMENTS assessing the quality or performance of your COMPANY'S MICROPROCESSORS or comparing the quality or performance of your COMPANY'S MICROPROCESSORS with the quality or performance of the MICROPROCESSORS of one or more of your competitors.
- All documents discussing the competitive advantage or disadvantage of your COMPANY'S volume of MICROPROCESSOR sales relative to the volume of your competitors' MICROPROCESSOR sales, and all documents discussing the competitive advantage or disadvantage of INTEL having a dominant share of MICROPROCESSOR sales.
- All DOCUMENTS concerning the effect of any FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT or NON-FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT offered by INTEL.
- All DOCUMENTS concerning the above-captioned matter, AMD v. Intel, Civ. A. No. 05-441 (D. Del.), any of the allegations about you in AMD's Complaint in that matter, any other litigation involving AMD and INTEL, or any investigation relating to INTEL by the Fair Trade Commission of Japan, the European Commission, or any other governmental authority.